



































- Beaver, D., C. Roberts, M. Simons, and J. Tonhauser (2017). Questions under discussion: Where information structure meets projective content. *Annual Review of Linguistics* 3, 265–284.
- Beckman, M. E. and G. Ayers (1997). *Guidelines for ToBI Labelling, version 3.0*. The Ohio State University.
- Büring, D. (2003). On d-trees, beans, and b-accents. *Linguistics and philosophy* 26(5), 511–545.
- Calhoun, S. (2010). The centrality of metrical structure in signaling information structure: A probabilistic perspective. *Language*, 1–42.
- Chierchia, G. and S. McConnell-Ginet (1990). *An Introduction to Semantics*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Cole, J., T. Mahrt, and J. Roy (2017). Crowd-sourcing prosodic annotation. *Computer Speech and Language* 45, 300–325.
- Cummins, C. and H. Rohde (2015). Evoking context with contrastive stress: Effects on pragmatic enrichment. *Frontiers in Psychology* 6.
- de Marneffe, M.-C., M. Simons, and J. Tonhauser (2019). The CommitmentBank: Investigating projection in naturally occurring discourse. In *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung (SUB) 23*, pp. 107–124.
- Djävrv, K. and H. A. Bacovcin (2017). Prosodic effects on factive presupposition projection. In *Proceedings of Semantics and Linguistic Theory (SALT) XVII*, pp. 116–133.
- Heim, I. (1983). On the projection problem for presuppositions. In *Proceedings of the Second West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics*, pp. 114–25.
- Karttunen, L. (1971). Some observations on factivity. *Papers in Linguistics* 4(1), 55–69.
- Kiparsky, P. and C. Kiparsky (1970). Fact. In M. Bierwisch and K. Heidolph (Eds.), *Progress in Linguistics*.
- Mahrt, T. (2018). *Acoustic cues for the perception of the information status of words in speech*. Ph. D. thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- Roberts, C. (2012). Information structure in discourse: Towards an integrated formal theory of pragmatics. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 5, 1–69.
- Rooth, M. (1992). A theory of focus interpretation. *Natural Language Semantics* 1, 75–116.
- Simons, M., D. Beaver, C. Roberts, and J. Tonhauser (2017, April). The best question: Explaining the projection behavior of factives. *Discourse Processes* 54(3), 187–206.
- Stalnaker, R. (1974). Pragmatic presuppositions. In M. K. Munitz and P. K. Unger (Eds.), *Semantics and Philosophy*, pp. 197–213. NYU Press.
- Tonhauser, J. (2016). Prosodic cues to presupposition projection. In *Proceedings of Semantics and Linguistic Theory (SALT) XXVI*, pp. 934–960. Ithaca, NY: CLC Publications.
- Tonhauser, J., D. Beaver, and J. Degen (2018). How projective is projective content? Gradience in projectivity and at-issueness. *Journal of Semantics* 35(3), 495–542.
- Vaikšnoraite, E., M.-C. de Marneffe, and T. Tonhauser (2019). On the prosody of presupposition projection: A production study. In *Proceedings of the 49th Meeting of the North-Eastern Linguistics Society*, Volume 3, pp. 241–249. University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Vallduví, E. (1993). The informational component. *IRCS Technical Reports Series*, 188.
- van der Sandt, R. A. (1992). Presupposition projection as anaphora resolution. *Journal of Semantics* 9(4), 333–377.