APPENDIX

Table A1. Covariates balance-checking results after propensity score matching.

mode design group who chose web and assigned to the telephone-only design group with high propensity for web

Respondents randomly assigned to the mixed-Respondents randomly assigned to the mixedmode design group who chose telephone and assigned to the telephone-only design group with high propensity for telephone

	with high propensity for web				with high propensity for telephone			
	Standardi	zed Mean	Chi-square tests / t- Stand			zed Mean	Chi-square tests / t-	
	Difference	ce (SMD)	tests & significance		Difference (SMD)		tests & significance	
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
	matching	matching	matching	matching	matching	matching	matching	matching
Age#	0.11	0.09	2.71**	1.50	0.09	0.05	1.49	0.40
Relationship to SP	0.18	0.12	9.07	3.56	0.27	0.05	25.23***	0.4316
SP Vital Status	0.06	0.04	0.91	0.47	0.07	0.05	1.82	0.4801
Gender	0.004	0.05	0.00	0.77	0.12	0.05	4.41*	0.4256
Education	0.31	0.12	32.60***	3.97	0.22	0.12	11.13*	3.2517
Marital Status	0.13	0.10	4.53	2.68	0.23	0.11	11.23*	2.6964
Whether have children under 18	0.08	0.10	2.60	2.41	0.11	0.00	0.62	0.0000
Race / ethnicity	0.26	0.17	21.46***	7.34	0.31	0.24	23.84***	11.73*
Address info available	0.35	0.04	33.87***	0.37	0.17	0.01	10.27**	0.0085
Phone info available	0.07	0.07	1.52	1.24	0.06	0.03	1.51	0.2545
Email info available	0.22	0.06	16.31***	0.98	0.52	0.12	69.56***	2.9495
Metro status Whether	0.02	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.06	0.01	1.02	0.0286
living with SP	0.03	0.05	0.53	0.78	0.06	0.07	1.39	0.9665
Household size#	0.01	0.04	0.20	0.72	0.06	0.04	1.02	0.61
n	1497	1056	1497	1056	1383	836	1383	836

n 1497 1056 1497 1056 1383 836 1383 836 Note. #t-test and significance are provided and the remaining numbers provided in the table are based on chi-square tests. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001.

Table A2. Respondent characteristics for unmatched NSOC sample and matched samples.

	NSOC sample (unmatched)#				. M. (1 1	Matched sample	
Mean / %	Overall	Telephone- only	Mixed-mode	Mixed-mode web	Matched sample between web vs. phone	between those who choose phone vs. those who were assigned to phone- only design	
Age	61.7	62.0	64.1	59.4	62.3	64.9	
Relationship to care recipient							
Spouse	19.4	19.4	22.9	16.6	16.5	22.0	
Children Grandchildr	54.3	54.8	43.6	61.6	66.7	51.2	
en, Nieces/Nep hews	11.7	11.9	9.7	12.8	10.7	9.0	
Other relatives	4.6	5.5	6.3	2.0	2.4	6.0	
Other non-relatives	10.0	8.4	17.5	7.0	3.8	11.8	
Care recipient deceased	15.0	16.7	13.8	13.1	15.2	14.2	
Gender: Female	64.6	65.9	59.2	66.7	70.7	65.0	
Education							
Below high school	6.8	7.9	9.9	2.7	3.0	9.7	
High school	22.0	24.1	24.6	16.4	18.0	29.6	
Below college	52.9	50.7	47.9	60.5	58.8	48.0	
College or above	15.4	14.9	13.4	17.9	19.6	12.4	
Missing	2.9	24.8	4.2	2.6	0.6	0.4	
Marital Status	50.4	50.2	50.4	<i>c</i> 2	(2.2	50.0	
Married Living with	58.4 5.6	59.3 583	50.4 6.3	6.3 4.7	63.3 3.5	52.2 4.1	
a partner Separated	1.6	16.9	17.5	14.2	2.3	3.4	
Divorced	10.3	90.8	11.4	11.3	10.7	11.8	
Widowed	9.1	91.5	12.3	6.7	7.6	12.3	
Never married	13.0	13.2	13.8	11.9	12.7	16.3	
Missing	2.1	17.5	4.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	
Whether have children under 18 Race / ethnicity	12.5	11.4	10.7	15.9	11.4	11.7	

White, non- Hispanic	70.4	68.3	65.6	77.4	70.9	54.6
Black, non- Hispanic	11.6	11.5	15.6	84.9	19.6	32.5
Other race/ethnicit	3.4	2.1	3.3	5.7	2.4	1.8
y Hispanic	10.6	14.7	8.9	5.2	5.9	8.4
More than two	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Don't know / refusal	3.9	3.2	0.6	3.1	1.2	2.8
Address info available	88.6	86.9	81.2	96.9	97.6	83.1
Phone info available	94.8	96.0	93.6	93.8	96.1	95.6
Email info available	38.9	40.9	19.0	50.7	56.1	23.3
Live in metropolitan area	80.0	81.5	79.7	77.7	79.6	78.7
Whether living with SP	37.5	38.2	36.8	37.0	39.2	41.4
Household size	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.5	2.46	2.35
n	1,938	942	441	555	1,056	836

^{*}Means or percentages for NSOC sample are all weighted, while unweighted for matched sample.

Table A3. Evaluation of randomization of NSOC experimental design

	Mixed-	Phone-only	Chi-square / t	Cramer's V /	
	mode	(%/mean)		Cohen's D	
	(%/mean)	24.2	1.60	0.020	
Caregiver gender: Male	38.0	34.3	4.62	0.038	
Caregiver relationship to SP			5.96	0.043	
Spouse / partners	19.3	19.9			
Children	53.4	55.2			
Other relatives	16.2	16.3			
Non-relatives	11.2	8.6			
SP age	82.9	83.2	0.72	0.046	
SP gender: Male	31.2	34.4	3.70	0.034	
SP Education			12.14	0.062	
Below high school	22.7	24.0			
High school	30.1	24.6			
Above high school	47.1	51.3			
SP race/ethnicity			11.51	0.060	
Non-Hispanic White	74.5	71.6			
Non-Hispanic Black	12.7	12.3			
Hispanic	8.8	12.6			
Other	3.9	3.5			
Caregiver telephone # provided	84.1	86.0	2.33	0.027	
Caregiver mail address provided	81.0	75.8	12.88*	0.063	
Caregiver email provided	32.0	33.6	0.88	0.017	

Note. NHATS weights were used.

Table A4. Percentage of items with significant differences in survey estimates and effect size for telephone respondents by whether there was a mode choice

	0/	Estimates			
	%	% items with significant difference ^a	Effect size		
Overall	-	0.0	0.07		
Question types					
Objective	26.5	0.0	0.07		
Subjective	73.5	0.0	0.06		
Response scale types			***		
Binary	54.7	0.0	0.05		
Ordinal	36.8	0.0	0.09		
Nominal & others	8.5	0.0	0.08		
Number of response options			***		
2 (Binary)	55.6	0.0	0.05		
3	11.2	0.0	0.07		
4	16.1	0.0	0.08		
5+	17.0	0.0	0.11		

^aBased on Benjamini-Hochberg-adjusted chi-square tests. n=223 categorical items.