EFFECT OF FORCE CHARACTERISTICS AND REACTION ABILITY ON VERTICAL JUMPING IN YOUNG BASKETBALL PLAYERS

Christos Papadopoulos¹ and Spiros Prassas²

¹Aristoteles University of Thessaloniki, TEFAA, 62110 Serres, GREECE ²Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

A vast quantity of scientific literature exists on the mechanisms and determinants of jumping ability. Yet, the influence of: a) the maximum isometric push-off force, b) the speed by which maximum push-off force is developed, and c) the reaction ability to drop jump on the effective use of horizontal and drop vertical velocities in vertical jumping has not been thoroughly investigated. It was, thus, the purpose of this study to investigate the relationship and influence of these parameters on vertical jumping in young basketball players.

METHODS

Nine young male basketball players (age: 13.34 yrs; height: 1.71m; weight: 60.2Kg) were tested on: a) maximum isometric push-off force (F_{max}); b) vertical countermovement jumps with (CMJ_w and without (CMJ_w) the use of the arms; c) vertical jump from a flexed hip, knee, and ankle joint position (SJ); d) drop vertical jumps from heights of 18 (DJ,,), 36 (DJ₃₆), and 42cm (DJ₄₂); e) vertical jumps with one step (CMJ,), and horizontal velocities of 1.5 (CMJ₂) and 2.2mlsec (CMJ₃); and f) vertical jumps with self-paced horizontal velocity with (CMJ,) and without (CMJ_{fwo}) the use of the arms. Two pairs of photo cells and two 1-D dynamometers were used for data collection.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

Table 1 presents differences between vertical countermovement jumps $(CMJ_w \text{ or } CMJ_w)$ and vertical jumps with initial horizontal velocity $(CMJ, CMJ_2, CMJ_3, CMJ_{fw}, and CMJ_2)$. No significant differences (p<.05) between these jumps were found. Nor were significant differences found between vertical jumps from a flexed hip, knee, and ankle joint position (SJ) and drop jumps (DJ,,, DJ,,, and DJ_4) (Table 2). Evaluation of F_{max} (M=1.8 times body weight), percent of F_{max} achievedduring the first lOOms (PCFM,) (56% of F_{max}), and reaction ability (ratio between best DJ and SJ jumps) (-2.5%).

Jumps	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t-score
CMJ _w vs. CMJ _{ru}	36.35	6.9	37.89	6.1	-0.497
CMJ _w vs. CMJ,	30.45	6.4	30.45	6.4	0.004
CMJ ^{wo} vs. CMJ,	30.45	6.4	30.02	4.4	0.165
CMJ ^{wo} vs. CMJ,	30.45	6.4	31.68	4.9	-0.458
CMJ _{wo} vs. CMJ	30.45	6.4	31.99	4.7	-0.528

 Table 1. (n=9) Comparison between various CMJs and CMJ with initial horizontal velocities

showed that these performance characteristics of this group of young basketball players were inferior to previously reported values. F_{max} was reported to be 2.8 times body weight; **PCFM**₁₀₀ was reported to be 70-75% of F_{max} (Papadopoulos, 1997); for reaction ability, DJ was reported to be 120-125% of SJ (Schmidtbleicher, 1994). It is of interest that the observed performances in CMJ or SJ were not influenced by either the increase in initial horizontal velocity (CMJ,,, Table 1), or vertical velocity (DJ₁₈₋₄₂, Table 2). According to Schmidtbleicher et al. (1990), vertical jumping performance of subjects with: a) decreased ability to develop maximum force quickly (reflected in **PCFM**₁₀₀ and b) poor reaction ability (reflected in the ratio of **DJ/SJ**), does not increase when the push-off time decreases (which is the case, for example, when the drop jump height increases).

Mean	SD	Mean SD	t-score	
27.49	4.6	25.44 4.9	0.913	
27.49	4.6	26.82 4.2	0.325	
27.49	4.6	26.08 4.4	0.546	
	Mean 27.49 27.49 27.49	MeanSD27.494.627.494.627.494.6	MeanSDMeanSD27.494.625.444.927.494.626.824.227.494.626.084.4	MeanSDMeanSDt-score27.494.625.444.90.91327.494.626.824.20.32527.494.626.084.40.546

Table 2. (n=9). Comparisons between SJ and drop jumps

It is also of interest that the preferred horizontal velocities (2.11 and 2.14m/sec for CMJ_{fw} and CMJ_{fwo} , respectively) were similar to the highest assigned horizontal velocity (CMJ,). Subjects, however, increased the **push**-off time by 83msec in CMJ_{fwo} and 23msec in CMJ_{fwo} . It can be speculated that subjects, knowing their limited ability to reach maximum force quickly and poor reaction ability, increased the push-off (contact) time to maximize performance. It was concluded that, in vertical jumping, effective utilization

of horizontal and drop vertical velocities is influenced by F_{max} , PCFM,, and reaction ability. Strategies aiming to increase vertical jumping ability, which do not consider F_{max} , PCFM, and reaction ability, appear to be ineffective.

REFERENCES

Papadopoulos, C., Salonikidis, K. & Schmidtbleicher, D. (1997). Diagnose and Auswertung der motorischen Fahigkeiten Kraft und Schnelligkeit bei **Kindern** im alter zwischen 10-15 Jahren. (Leistungsport, <u>5</u>, in press).

Schmidtbleicher, D. (1994). Entwicklung der Kraft und Schnelligkeit. In J. Bauer et al. (Hrsg.) Motorische Entwicklung, Em Handbuch. Verlag Hofmann Schorndorf, **Beitrage** zur Lehre und Forschung im Sport, Band <u>106:129-150</u>.

Schmidtbleicher, D., Frick, U. & Wiesemann, C. (1990). Leistungsdiagnostik und Trainingssteuenmgder Sprungkraft im Volleyball. In: Sportwissenschaft415426.