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## INTRODUCTION

Drop jumps may be mathematically modelled as a mass-spring-damper system with a sufficient accuracy (Aurin and Zatsiorsky, 1984). It was stated that a mass of internal viscera does not affect a maximum jumping height and a frequency of jumping. Minetti and Belli (1994) found visceral mass, which presents $14 \%$ of the total body mass, oscillating in an opposite phase than a musculo-skeletal mass düring hopping and thus significantly influencing the jumping height and frequency of jumping, but also an energy consumption as well. The aim of the present study was to assess an influence of the visceral mass on jumping height in a single drop jump by mathematical modelling.

## METHODS

The model (Fig. 1) consisted of two masses connected by a spring and damper, where mass $m_{2}$ presented the visceral mass.
Elastic module $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ and damping module $B_{2}$ defined an attachment of $m_{2}$ to the other parts of the body. The model was described with two differential equations:
$m_{1}{ }^{*} \ddot{x}_{1}-B_{2}{ }^{*} \dot{x}_{11}+K_{1}{ }^{*} x_{1}-K_{2}{ }^{*} x_{2}=0$
$m_{2} * \ddot{x}_{2}-B_{2} * \dot{x}_{2}-K_{2} * x_{2}=0$
where $m_{1}$ presented the mass of the external container, $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ vertical displacements of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ from a position of equilibrium, $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ the damping coefficient, $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ the stiffness coefficients.

Numerical solution was performed by MATLAB (The MathWorks Inc.). The vertical displacement of the


Figure 1 Scheme of mass-springdamper system centre of gravity of both masses (CG) was calculated by varying $K_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ systematically at constant $\mathrm{K}_{1}$. The value for $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ was taken from Aurin and Zatsiorsky, 1984).

Each jump was subdivided into two phases, a contact phase and aerial phase. The maximum jumping height was defined as the apex of the trajectory of CG in aerial phase, calculated by formula : $H_{\text {max }}=v_{0}^{2} /(2 * g)$ where $H_{\text {max }}$ was
the maximum height of CG, $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ was velocity of $C G$ at the instant of take-off, g was gravitational acceleration.

## RESULTS

Dependence of jumping height on $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ is presented in Figure 2.


It became clear that the main response of the system was attained by increasing $B_{2}$ from 0 to $600 \mathrm{Ns} / \mathrm{m}$. Afterwards increased $B_{2}$ didn't influence the jumping height significantly

Figures 3 and 4 show two typical examples of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ velocity during the contact phase. The velocity of $m_{2}$ is presented relatively to the movement of $m_{1}$ in both figures. The first example represents condition in which $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ oscillated in a phase shift with $m_{1}$ and where vertical displacement according to $m_{1}$ may be up to 8 cm (Minetti and Belli (1994)). With increasing stiffness of the system, the phase shift between $m_{2}$ and $m_{1}$ become smaller and corresponding jumping height increased as well. The second example represents condition when both masses, $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ oscillated in parallel. When the $m_{2}$ is delayed for 0.032 s as in the first example, the jumping height was 0.388 m . When the oscillation became almost parallel ( $\Delta \mathrm{t}=0.002 \mathrm{~s}$ ), the jumping height reached 0.431 m .


Figure 4 M 1 is velocity of mass $\mathrm{m}_{1}$, M2 is velocity of mass $m_{2}$ relatively to $m_{1}$, at $\mathrm{K}_{2}=3600$ in $\mathrm{B}_{2}=2200$. Vertical dotted line denotes the instant of take-off.

## CONCLUSION

With increasing $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}$, the observed system became more stiff. It seems that damping was a crucial factor for determining the maximal jumping height, because at constant $B_{2}$ the increasing of $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ did not influence the changes in jumping height a lot, except at very low $B_{2}$. Increasing stiffness of the system smaller phase shift between $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ as well as the oscillation amplitude of $m_{2}$. Both of them contributing to the higher velocity at the end of contact phase. With sufficiently high $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}$, $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ would oscillate strictly in parallel with $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ and would no longer influence the jumping height (Aurin and Zatsiorsky, 1984). In that case the observed system as presented in Figure 1, could be considered simple as a mass-spring system (Blickhan, R. 1989). Results of the present ștudy indicating the importance for a control of visceral mass movement for maximising the result. In practice, the greater $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ can be achieved by increased abdominal pressure.
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