## COMPARISON OF SOME KINEMATIC FLIGHT PARAMETERS OF SKI JUMPERS BETWEEN THE CLASSIC TECHNIQUE (1990) AND THE SO-CALLED "V" TECHNIQUE (1993) AT THE WORLD-CUP COMPETITION AT PLANICA (K 120 M)

# **BOJAN JOST**

# UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, FACULTY OF SPORT, SLOVENIA

## INTRODUCTION

The flight of a **ski** jumper is one of the most important phases of the **ski** jump which is performed in a specific inertial environment determined to a considerable extent by the aerodynamic parameters and the weight of the jumper-equipment system. Already from the very beginning of the development of the **ski-jumping** technique there have occurred constant modifications of the technique (1). The object and goal of this research has been to compare **kinematic** parameters of the flight between the old and the new technique in order to establish any essential changes in the posture of **ski** jumpers in the air in case of a modification of the flight technique. At the same time we also wanted to establish and compare the extent of interrelations between **kinematic** parameters of the flight defined in the one or the other technique by the length of the **jump**.

## METHODOLOGY

The data for this research have been obtained in two parts. In the first part of our research we have included 30 **ski** jumpers **taking** part in the final of the World Cup on a **120m-ski-jumping** hill at Planica on 24/3/1990: they performed two jumps in the classical technique (all variables defined in this competition are designated with the following code numbers: number 1 - first series and 2 - final series). The said two jumps were recorded by a high-speed film camera BOLEX. The second part of the measurements was camed out three years later, also on a sample of 30 best **ski** jumpers of the world **taking** part in the final series at the introductory competition for the World Cup camed out on a **K120-m- ski-jumping** hill at Planica (all variables defined in this series are designated with the number 3) on 12/12/1993. This jump **performed** in the new "V" technique was recorded by a video camera on the same location, that is at a distance of 60 m away from the edge of the take-off platform at an average slope of the landing area of 27 angular degrees. In-relation to this point, the cameras were positioned at a right angle and were 23 m away from the movement direction of the **ski** jumper. The flight curve was on average at the level of the camera.

For the requirements of this research, the following **kinematic** variables have been defined:

Angle between the legs and the **trunk**: ALFA - aAngle between the chord connecting the ankle joint and the shoulder joint: BETA -  $\beta$ Angle between the **skies** and the chord connecting the ankle joint and the shoulder

joint: FI • **¢** 

Angle between the trunk and the arms: **GAMA** • γ Flight height: **FLH** Length of the jump: **JUMPL** Style grade: **STYLEG** 

# RESULTS

Table 1: Basic Statistical Parameters

Variable						
X	SD	MAX		MIN		
ALFA1	164.0	6.46	180	151		٨
ALFA2	164.5	5.93	178	153	~	,
ALFA3	161.5	5.36	173	151 2 and 1		1.1
BETA1	18.7	4.25	30	9		
BETA2	19.7	4.29	30	<b>11</b> ,		
BETA3	14.6	3.66		6	and such as	
GAMA1	183.3	8.56	for the state of the	<b></b>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
GAMA2	181.6	11.63	200			make the total T
GAMA3	178.9	2.37	185	178		a ser at set of the set
FI1	21.7	4.59		. <b>14</b>		4
F12	22.1	4.58	36	15	A det a	A Contraction of the second
F13	11.0	3.26	19	6	Sec. 1. 1.	
FLH1	5.2	0.97	6.6	2.7		2
FLH2	4.1	1.06	<b>5.8</b>	1.8		
FLH3	3.5	0.52	4.5	2.4	and the second	and the second
JUMPL1	112.5	11.21	125	81		
JUMPL2	100.0	8.50	121	79		
JUMPL3	116.5	8.61	136	100		
STYLEG1	48.7	4.47	54	33	and a second	1
STYLEG2	45.0	3.28	52	39	ې راندې	
STYLEL3	53.8	2.93	58	45		

Table 2. Regression Analysis of the Jump Length (in the table there are shown only the variables which from the aspect of statistical significance contribute to the explanation of the respective length of jumps)

Variable	r	Beta	Τ,	Sig T
FLH1	0.56	0.36	2.41	0.02
FLH2	0.83	0.81	8.88	0.00
FLH3	0.54	0.62	4.18	0.00
ALFA1	-0.55	-0.39	-2.66	0.01
BETA1	-0.35	-0.29	-2.16	0.04
BETA2	-0.33	-0.29	-3.14	0.00
BETA3	-0.29	-0.40	-2.60	0.01
	Mult r	Rsq	Sig f	
First jump	0.73	0.53	0.00	
Second jump	0.88	0.77	0.00	
Third jump	0.70	0.49	0.00	

Legend:

0	
r	- coefficient of linear correlation
Beta	<ul> <li>standardised beta values</li> </ul>
Т	T-coefficient
Sig T	- statistical significance of the T-coefficient .
Mult r	<ul> <li>coefficient of multiple correlation</li> </ul>
Rsq	- square of the multiple correlation coefficient
Sig f	<ul> <li>statistical significance of the multiple correlation coefficient</li> </ul>

٢

Comparison of the average values of individual jumps indicates a marked difference between the **kinematic** parameters of the classical and new technique, expressed primarily in the inclination of a jumper towards the front (BETA) (in the new "V" **technique, ski** jumpers are moreinclined towards the front) and in the position of the **skies** during the flight (FI): in the new technique they follow more the posture of the body. In the old technique the **skies** were usually positioned slightly below the horizontal plane (3 angular degrees), while in the new one they positioned 3 angular degrees above the horizontal plane. On average, the **ski** jumpers were also slightly more bend in the hips in the new technique. the new technique allowed longer jumps. with the jumpers flying lower above the jumping hill. Longer jumps also accounted for significantly higher grades for the style.

### DISCUSSION

The new technique of the flight of **ski** jumpers established in the season **1991/92** has confirmed its greater **aerodynamic** efficiency already as regards the results of the **ski** jumpers. By comparison of some **kinematic** parameters between the old-and the new technique we have found that in the new technique **ski** jumpers are more inclined towards the front during the flight and that they are more bent in the hips. At the same time, the **skies** are positioned closer to the chord formed by the body and slightly above the horizontal plane in the new technique. Such a position has with certainty also influenced the length of the jumps, **i.e.** the performance of the **ski** jumpers, which fact has been established by regression analysis of the performance of the ski jumpers in respect of the jump length (Table 2).

The greatest linear correlation with the jump length has shown the variable **FLH1** (flight height). The **ski** jumpers who flew higher were in general more successful. Of course, the height of the flight at the point of observation of **kinematic** parameters was already a consequence of the effect of these parameters in the part of the flight before that observation point. Irrespective of that, tendencies of optimisation of aerodynamic moments of the **acting forces** can be established: they are shown in minimisation of air resistance in the vertical direction. These tendencies also bring about a favourable increase in aerodynamic lift (Vaverka, **1987**), which above all in the best **ski** jumpers allows them to maintain high horizontal velocities of the flight and hence slower falling especially in the **second** part of the flight.

### CONCLUSIONS

On a sample of 30 best **ski** jumpers, the participants of the World Cup final at Planica The comparison of the obtained results has shown that in the new technique ski jumpers are more inclined towards the front, that they form a smaller angle with the skies during the flight (viewed in the sagittal plane), that they are more bent in the hips and that they achieve considerably longer jumps at a lower height of the jump (observed somewhere in the middle of the flight). The length of the jump is, similarly as in the classical method, still to the greatest extent influenced by the flight height and the inclination of the **ski** jumper towards the front; however, a corresponding bent in the hips and suitable positioning of the **skies** in the air should also not be neglected.

in 1990 and the World Cup begin in the season **1993/94**, we have analysed some **kinematic** characteristics in the phase of flight, and compared the differences between the old classical and the new "V" technique of **ski** jumping.

## REFERENCES

Auttoren kolektiv: Skisport. Sportverlag Berlin. 1978.

Vaverka. F.: Biomechanicka skoku na ly'ich. Univerziteta Palackeho Olomouc 1987.

Na Grand Anna An