## BIOMECHANICS OF BASEBALL PITCHING: IMPLICATIONS FOR INJURY AND PERFORMANCE

## Glenn Fleisig American Sports Medicine Institute, Birmingham, AL, USA

All overhead throws share similar biomechanics. Baseball pitching is one of the most dynamic throws, with both high ball velocity and high rate of injury. An understanding of pitching biomechanics can help maximize performance and minimize the risk of injury (Fortenbaugh et al., 2009; Whiteley 2007). Although pitching is a continuous motion, it can be separated into a series of phases to better understand the kinetic chain. The description and the mean ± standard deviation values presented here are based upon healthy elite adult pitchers.

The objective of the windup phase is to put the pitcher in a good starting position. The windup begins when the pitcher initiates the first motion and ends with maximum knee lift of the stride leg. The pitcher typically begins with the weight evenly distributed on both feet. The stance foot then pivots to a position parallel with the rubber. The lead leg is lifted and the lead side (left side for a right handed thrower) faces the target. A pitcher will typically pitch "from the stretch" instead of from the windup when there are runners on base. When pitching from the stretch, the pitcher starts with his back foot parallel against the rubber and the front foot out a comfortable distance towards home plate. The pitcher then has an abbreviated leg lift. Forces in the upper extremity are low during this phase, thus shoulder and elbow injuries do not occur during this phase (Dun et al., 2008a).

In the stride phase, the pitcher strides his front leg (left leg for a right-handed thrower) towards the target. At the same time, the athlete separates his hands and swings them down, apart, and up. The coordination of these leg and arm motions is critical to enable optimal timing in the later throwing phases. At the time of front foot contact, the stride length should be  $83\pm4\%$  of body height and the lead knee should be flexed  $45\pm9^\circ$ . Also at this time, the pelvis should be  $33\pm10^\circ$  open to the target, but the shoulder line should be about  $15^\circ$  closed. Abduction of the throwing shoulder should be  $93\pm11^\circ$ . The elbow is flexed  $90\pm15^\circ$ , and the shoulder has  $56\pm22^\circ$  of external rotation. (External rotation is defined as 0 when the forearm is horizontal and  $90^\circ$  when the forearm is vertical.)

The arm cocking phase begins at the time of front foot contact. During this phase the pelvis and then upper trunk rotate to face the target while the throwing arm cocks back. The non-throwing arm is tucked in near the trunk in order to decrease inertia and increase velocity of the upper trunk rotation. The lag between pelvis rotation and upper trunk rotation is critical for generating energy from the trunk that is passed along to the throwing arm. Without proper timing of pelvis and upper trunk rotation, the athlete may have low ball speed and/or excessive loads in the shoulder and elbow (Stodden et al., 2005; Aguinaldo et al., 2007; Wight et al., 2004).

The arm cocking phase ends with the throwing shoulder in maximum external rotation (MER). MER is 181±8°; in other words, the forearm is approximately perpendicular to the trunk and the palm of the hand is facing up. Achieving such external rotation is strongly related to ball velocity. An athlete must cock his arm back far in order to accelerate his hand forward. Measured MER is not just rotation within the shoulder joint, but actually a combination of glenohumeral rotation, scapula motion, and arching of the back (Miyashita et al., 2010)

While MER is vital for ball speed, it is also a position of potential injury. In this position the rotator cuff muscles on the back of the shoulder (especially the infraspinatus muscle) may become pinched in the shoulder joint. When this muscle is impinged, it may tear during the forceful shoulder rotation. At the same time, the front of the shoulder capsule is under tension and may tear. The torques at the shoulder and elbow both peak near the time of MER, as the joints must stop the arm cocking and initiate the forward rotation of the arm. Peak elbow varus

torque is 99±17 Nm. (This is about equivalent to holding a 25 kg mass in the thrower's hand at this instant.) Repetition of this varus torque can lead to tension and tearing in the elbow's ulnar collateral ligament, and as well as bone spurs in the lateral and posteromedial elbow (Dun et al., 2008b).

From this cocked position, the athlete initiates arm acceleration. Elbow extension velocity reaches 2450±250°/s and shoulder internal rotation velocity reaches an incredible 7500±900°/s. This is the fastest joint rotation documented in any sport. The biceps muscle of the upper arm contracts to decelerate the elbow extension. This contraction in the arm cocking and arm acceleration phases may lead to a tear of the shoulder labrum.

The arm acceleration phase ends with ball release. At the time of ball release, the front knee is flexed  $35\pm12^{\circ}$ . The front knee is extending through ball release, which allows the athlete to stop the forward motion of his pelvis and transfer energy up his body to the ball. The trunk is tilted  $36\pm7^{\circ}$  forward and  $23\pm10^{\circ}$  to the side. The throwing shoulder is abducted  $94\pm8^{\circ}$  (that is, the throwing elbow is approximately on the imaginary line passing through both shoulders). If the shoulder is abducted significantly more or less than 90°, there can be misalignment in the shoulder leading to damage to the shoulder capsule and surrounding tissue. Different pitchers in various throwing situations may alter the sideways tilt of their trunk; however, the shoulder abduction at ball release should always be approximately 90° (Matsuo et al., 2002; 2006).

The rapid rotations of the upper trunk and throwing arm create a large force at both the shoulder and elbow. At the time of ball release, more than 1100 N are produced at both the shoulder and elbow to resist distraction. In other words, the body rotation creates forces greater than body weight that are trying to pull the arm out at the shoulder and elbow joint. Tension on the ligaments and muscles – especially the rotator cuff – may lead to tensile tears from repetitive throwing.

After ball release, the throwing arm continues to internally rotate, leaving the forearm in a pronated position. Pronation after release happens in all overhead throws – straight throws, curveballs, etc. The arm horizontally adducts in front of the chest. The trunk continues to tilt forward and the back leg steps forward. A pitcher with an abbreviated deceleration and follow-through may not be using his body to dissipate the energy produced in throwing; this may lead to excessive force in the shoulder and elbow.

A summary of proper pitching mechanics is shown in the table below. Variations from proper kinematics have been correlated with decreased ball velocity (Stodden et al., 2005) and increased shoulder and elbow kinetics (Aguinaldo et al., 2007; Matsuo et al., 2002; 2006; Wight et al., 2004) The consequences of these correlations are summarized in the table as well.

Other issues that have been shown to relate to pitching biomechanics are level (youth to professional) (Fleisig et al., 2006; 2009; Sabick et al., 2005, Ishida et al., 2006) fatigue (Escamilla et al., 2007), pitch type (fastball, curveball, etc.) (Nissen et al., 2009; Dun et al., 2008b, Fleisig et al., 2006) and technique (windup vs. stretch) (Dun et al., 2008a).

Phase / Event	roper and improper pitching mecha	
Windup	Proper Mechanics Lift front leg.	Pathomechanics → Consequences
Maximum Knee Height	Pitcher is balanced.	
Stride	Front leg goes down and forward. Arms separate, swing down, and up.	↓ push off rubber → ↓ball velocity
Foot Contact	Front foot is planted slightly to 3B side (for a right-handed pitcher). Front foot is pointed slightly inward. Shoulder is abducted approx. 90°, with approx. 60° of external rotation.	<ul> <li>↓stride length → ↓ball velocity</li> <li>Front foot open (position or angle) → ↑ shoulder and elbow force</li> <li>Improper shoulder external rotation and shoulder abduction → ↑ shoulder and elbow kinetics</li> <li>Excessive shoulder external rotation → ↓ ball velocity</li> <li>↓ shoulder horizontal abduction → ↓ ball velocity</li> </ul>
Arm Cocking	Pelvis rotation, followed by upper trunk rotation. Shoulder externally rotates, and trunk arches.	Early pelvis rotation → ↓ ball velocity Late pelvis rotation → ↑ shoulder and elbow kinetics ↓ pelvis rotation velocity → ↓ ball velocity Poor timing between pelvis rotation and upper trunk rotation → ↓ ball velocity Poor timing between pelvis rotation and upper trunk rotation → ↑ shoulder internal rotation torque
Maximum External Rotation	Shoulder external rotation is approx. 180°. Elbow flexion is approx. 90°	↓ shoulder external rotation $\rightarrow$ ↓ ball velocity Excessive shoulder horizontal adduction & elbow flexion $\rightarrow$ ↑ shoulder kinetics
Arm Acceleration	Elbow extends, followed by shoulder internal rotation. Front knee extends.	
Ball Release	The throwing shoulder is abducted approx. 90°	<pre>↑knee extension velocity →↑ ball velocity Improper shoulder abduction → ↓ball velocity Improper shoulder abduction → ↑elbow varus torque ↓forward trunk tilt →↓ball velocity</pre>
Arm Deceleration	Shoulder internally rotates and front knee extension continues. Trunk tilts forward.	
Maximum Internal Rotation	Shoulder external rotation is approx 0°.	
Follow Through	Arm crosses in front of body. Trunk flexes forward.	

Table 1. Summary of proper and improper pitching mechanics

 $\rightarrow$  Correlates with

## References

- 1. Aguinaldo AL, Buttermore J, Chambers, H: Effects of upper trunk rotation on shoulder joint torque among baseball pitchers of various levels. *J Appl Biomech.* 2007; 23: 42-51.
- 2. Chu Y, Fleisig GS, Simpson KJ, Andrews JR. Biomechanical Comparison between Elite Female and Male Baseball Pitchers. *J Appl Biomech* 2009; 25:22-31.
- 3. Dun S, Kingsley D, Fleisig GS, Loftice J, Andrews JR. Biomechanical comparison of the fastball from wind-up and the fastball from stretch in professional baseball pitchers. *Am J Sports Med* 2008a; 36(1):137-41.
- 4. Dun S, Loftice J, Fleisig GS, Kingsley D, Andrews JR: A biomechanical comparison of youth baseball pitches: Is the curveball potentially harmful? *Am J Sports Med.* 2008b; 36(4): 686-692.
- 5. Escamilla RF, Fleisig GS, Barrentine SW, Andrews JR, Moorman C: Kinematic and kinetic comparisons between American and Korean professional baseball pitchers. *Sports Biomech.* 2002; 1(2): 213-228.
- 6. Escamilla RF, Barrentine SW, Fleisig GS, et. al: Pitching biomechanics as a pitcher approaches muscular fatigue during a simulated baseball game. *Am J Sports Med.* 2007; 35(1): 23-33.
- 7. Fleisig G, Chu Y, Weber A, Andrews J. Variability in baseball pitching biomechanics among various levels of competition. *Sports Biomech* 2009; 8(1):10-21.
- 8. Fleisig GS, Kingsley DS, Loftice JW, et. al: Kinetic comparison among the fastball, curveball, change-up, and slider. *Am J Sports Med.* 2006; 34(3): 423-430.
- 9. Fleisig GS, Phillips R, Shatley A, et. al: Kinematics and kinetics of youth baseball pitching with standard and lightweight balls. *Sports Eng.* 2006; 9: 155-163.
- 10. Fortenbaugh D, Fleisig GS, Andrews JR. Baseball pitching biomechanics in relation to injury risk and performance. Sports Health 1:314-320, 2009.
- 11. Ishida K, Murata M, Hirano Y: Shoulder and elbow kinematics in throwing of young baseball players. *Sports Biomech*. 2006; 5(2): 183-196.
- 12. Matsuo T, Matsumoto T, Mochizuki Y, Takada Y, Saito K: Optimal shoulder abduction angles during baseball pitching from maximal wrist and minimal kinetics viewpoints. *J Appl Biomech.* 2002; 18: 306-320.
- 13. Matsuo T, Fleisig GS, Zheng N, Andrews JR: Influence of shoulder abduction and lateral trunk tilt on peak elbow varus torque for college baseball pitchers during simulated pitching. *J Appl Biomech.* 2006; 22: 93-102.
- 14. Miyashita K, Kobayashi H, Koshida S, Urabe Y. Glenohumeral, scapular, and thoracic angles at maximum shoulder external rotation in throwing. *Am J Sports Med* 2010; 38(2): 362-368.
- 15. Nissen CW, Westwell M, Ounpuu S, Patel M, Solomito M, Tate J: A biomechanical comparison of the fastball and curveball in adolescent baseball pitchers. *Am J Sports Med.* 2009; 37(8):1492-1498.
- 16. Sabick MB, Kim YK, Torry MR, Keirns MA, Hawkins RJ: Biomechanics of the shoulder in youth baseball pitchers: Implications for the development of proximal humeral epiphysiolysis and humeral retrotorsion. *Am J Sports Med.* 2005; 33(11): 1716-1722.
- 17. Stodden DF, Fleisig GS, McLean SP, Andrews JR: Relationship of biomechanical factors to baseball pitching velocity: Within pitcher variation. *J Appl Biomech.* 2005; 21: 44-56.
- 18. Wight J, Richards J, Hall S: Influence of pelvis rotation styles on baseball pitching mechanics. *Sports Biomech.* 2004; 3(1): 67-84.
- 19. Whiteley R. Baseball throwing mechanics as they relate to pathology and performance a review. *J Sports Sci Med* 2007; 6:1-20, 2007.