## A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY OF GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PULLING STRENGTH OF TOW FOR JAPANESE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CHILDREN

Takahiko Sato, Saki Sodeyama\*, Ryuji Nagahama\*, Soichi Shimizu, Kengo Sasaki, Ryoichi Nishitani\*, Cao Yulin\* and Hiroh Yamamoto\*

## Biomechanics Lab., Graduate School of Ed., Kanazawa Univ., Kanazawa, Japan \*Biomechanics Lab., Fac. Of Educ., Kanazawa Univ., Kanazawa, Japan

The aim of this study was to obtain the data of gender differences of pulling strength during experimentally executed TOW for Japanese elementary school children. In mean back strength, gender difference was small from 1<sup>st</sup> grade to 4<sup>th</sup> grade, but on 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> grade, gender difference became large. In mean pulling strength, gender difference was large in 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> grade. But no tendency was found from 1<sup>st</sup> grade to 4<sup>th</sup> grade. In male children, sum of pulling strength increases substantially when the grade changes from 4<sup>th</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup>. But pulling strength tended to grow constantly. On the other hand, in female children, sum of pulling strength increases substantially when the grade changes from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup>. And from 4<sup>th</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup>, sum of back strength and rope tension were very close to each other. Results suggested that though male children get grow for muscles, female children get motor function more than male children.

**KEY WORDS:** gender difference, tug of war, elementary school

**INTRODUCTION:** Pulling at a rope has been performed ritually all over the world. Today, it is enjoyed as a sport called tug-of-war; TOW. The appeal of TOW is to cooperate with any other teammates to aim for win. Although TOW is commonly sport for us, 'pulling for maximal effort and step backward', 'hold for maximal effort against opponents pull' and 'step forward unable to hold' in TOW are complicated movements and not usual.

TOW is constructed for three types of phase; Drop phase, Hold phase, and Drive phase (Masahiro et al, 2005). Drop phase is from start to run out the slack. Hold phase is hold against opponent or step forward unable to hold. Drive phase is step backward. From previous studies the agonists in Hold phase are backside muscles, and in Drive phase are abdominal muscle and backside muscles (Shigeki et al, 1988).

In TOW, power and endurance of muscles is very important. Before 10 years old no gender difference in development of muscles is found out, but after 10 years old males start to grow more than females. Furthermore, in 10 years old, the muscle development rate normalized by peak is 35%. On the other hand, developments of motor function is dependent on motor learning; growing muscles and neural system, usually experience. Starting to learn walking forward is 3 years old, running forward is 7 years old, walking and running backward is 11 years old (Saki et al, 2005). That is to say elementary school children have been in developmental stage.

In most previous studies, athletes, adults, and students at collage had performed and had been measured. On the other hand, there are few studies for elementary school children. So, the purpose of this study was to obtain the data of gender differences of pulling strength during experimentally executed TOW for Japanese elementary school children.

## **METHODS:**

Subjects of this study were healthy elementary school children. 8 male and 8 female children in each grade participated (male: 8x6=48, female: 8x6=48). Table1 shows the physical characteristics of subjects. In preliminary session, а subjects were measured for back strength and pulling strength. Both parameters were measured by load cell (TCLP-2000KA, Tokyo Sokki Kenkyujo, Co., LTD Japan). -The subjects performed 1

Table 1: Pysical characteristic

grade	gender	height(cm)	weight(N)	age(years)
1	male	122.5±4.4	233.4 ± 33.3	7.2±0.3
	female	119.0±2.6	217.7 ± 26.5	6.7±0.4
2	male	127.1±2.0	257.9 ± 18.6	8.0±0.3
	female	123.1±5.8	245.2 ± 45.1	7.7±0.1
3	male	130.4±6.5	271.7 ± 42.2	9.0±0.3
	female	126.3±3.8	241.3 ± 23.5	8.6±0.3
4	male	135.0±4.8	306.0 ± 46.1	9.9±0.2
	female	138.3±7.9	340.3 ± 104.0	9.6±0.3
5	male	140.3±3.8	348.1 ± 66.7	11.1±0.3
	female	143.1±6.7	324.6 ± 62.8	10.9±0.3
6	male	154.8±3.1	472.7 ± 108.9	11.5±0.6
	female	145.4±7.5	355.0 ± 37.3	11.6±0.4

trial for each parameter. The 1 trial was 5 seconds and designed for Figure1 and Figure2. Those parameters were defined as average in 5 seconds. And as test session, the 8 students in each grade were split into 2 groups (group A: n=4, group B: N=4) and performed mini game (4:4) to be measured the rope tension. The sum of back strengths in each group were equalized as possible based on preliminary session. The mini game was set for 30 seconds and performed 3~5 games for each pairing (Figure3). This difference was caused by the fatigue of subjects. The rope tension was defined as average in all trials.

load cell



Figure1: Back strength trial

Figure2: Pulling strength trial



Figure3: Mini game RESULTS:

Table 2 shows the measurements in preliminary session. In mean back strength, gender difference was only small from 1<sup>st</sup> grade to 4<sup>th</sup> grade, but on 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> grade, gender difference became large. In mean pulling strength, gender difference was large on 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> grade. But no tendency was found from 1<sup>st</sup> grade to 4<sup>th</sup> grade.

grade gender Back strength (N) Pulling stre	• • •
male 1196 + 470 1764 +	
1 maio 110.0 ± 41.0 110.4 ±	41.2
female 101.9 ± 20.6 131.3 ±	21.6
2 male 186.2 ± 53.9 193.1 ±	30.4
<sup>2</sup> female 180.3 ± 59.8 149.9 ±	31.4
3 male 214.6 ± 76.4 183.3 ±	43.1
<sup>5</sup> female 260.7 ± 43.1 203.8 ±	12.7
4 male 255.8 ± 26.5 184.2 ±	36.3
<sup>4</sup> female 234.2 ± 72.5 192.1 ±	49.0
5 male 345.9 ± 87.2 254.8 ±	58.8
female 248.9 ± 30.4 209.7 ±	34.3
6 male 390.0 ± 63.7 296.9 ±	43.1
female 293.0 ± 66.6 229.3 ±	33.3

Table2: Mean back strength and pulling strength (±SD)

The developments of rope tension and sum of pulling strength were shown for Figure 4 and Figure 5. Sum of pulling strength in those figures was defined as intermediate value between group A's and group B's. In male children, sum of pulling strength got grows largely when the grade changes from 4<sup>th</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup>. But pulling strength was tended to grow constantly. On the other hand, in female children, sum of pulling strength got increases substantially when the grade changes from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup>. And from 4<sup>th</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup>, sum of back strength and rope tension were very close to each other.





and sum of pulling strength in female children

The difference between rope tension and sum of pulling strength in male children was larger than female's. Because of this tendency, it remains possible that female children take advantage of muscle power to perform any complicated movements more than males. Thus, it is suggested that though male children develop for muscles, female children develop motor function more than male children.

Through this study, the data of pulling strength during experimentally executed TOW for Japanese elementary school children has been obtained and gender differences in tendency of developments of muscle and motor function have been shown.

In further investigation, it needs to increase the number of subjects and find out the statistical significance. On the other hand, it is very important to address not only a cross-sectional study like this but a longitudinal study to certify the muscles and motor function developing.

## **REFERENCES:**

Masahiro Nakagawa et al. (2005). CHARACTERISTIC OF PULLING MOVEMENT FOR JAPANEASE ELITE TUG OF WAR ATHLETES. XXIII International Symposium on Biomechanics in Sports, Beijing.2005 Proceedings, pp475-478

Shigeki Kawahara, Hiroh Yamamoto (1988). The biomechanical analysis on Tug of war, Seoul Olympic Scientific Congress, pp356-357

Saki Sodeyama et al. (2005). THE CARACTERISTICS OF BACKWARD WALKING AND BACKWARD RUNNING IN PRIMARY SCHOOL CHILDREN. XXIII International Symposium on Biomechanics in Sports, Beijing.2005 Proceedings: pp539-542