

ELECTROSTIMULATION AND FORCE PRODUCTION

BEATRICE FERRY*, J.P. FREDON**, G. VANNEUVILLE*** G. POUMARAT* 7

*LABORATOIRE DE PERFORMANCE MOTRICE, UNIVERSITÉ, BLAISE PASCAL. CLERMONT-FERRAND,

**CREPS ALPES VIVARAIS. VOIRON.

***LABORATOIRE D'ANATOMIE, UNIVERSITÉ, D'Auvergne, CLERMONT-FERRAND, FRANCE

INTRODUCTION

Setting the body in motion requires coordination of many muscular contractions. These are governed by the nervous system. In fact electrical stimulation (**ES**) is a way of substitute for this system **provoking** confined stimuli. In sport ES presents several types of applications:

- fight against muscular atrophy,
- a mean of reducing **pain**,
- improvement of muscular strength.

For muscular and sport **exercise**, the force production is one of the first important parameter to control. If the goal is to increase muscle strength, it may **thus** be important to maximize the muscle tension achieved during training period. Before more detailed study is **carried** out, it is necessary to understand how the induction of current brings out the activation of nerve or muscle.

An action potential may be conducted by the **nervous** system. Ionic movements produce this potential. Ions in the region will **migrate** toward or from electrodes according to their charge. Some of the ionic movement occurs in the extra cellular fluid, some of the current passes through the nerve membrane. The net effect of the current is a **depolarisation** of the nerve membrane. If the current is large enough, an action potential will be evoked. Excitability of muscle and nerve fibers is different. We know that peripheral nerve fibers are inherently more excitable by ES **than** muscle fibers. Firstly ES activate nerve fibers. Secondly, in a mixed peripheral nerve, fibers with the largest diameter are the most easily excited. It is generally thought that with ES, the pattern of recruitment tends to be from largest to smallest diameter fibers, so first fast fibers are activated followed by slow fibers. A relationship between stimulus intensity and magnitude of the response can be described. This relation affects the motor unit recruitment and muscular strength production. So, it is always possible to regulate force production via the intensity, but in order to minimize fatigue phenomenon it may be interesting to have a better control of parameters of stimulation. In fact ES is defined by **several** parameters such as intensity, pulse **duration**, wave form or frequency. To achieve optimal activation, the distance between the electrodes and their localization on the muscle is also an important factor.

The aim of this work is to determine the advantage of a multi - electrodes technique, in order to induce a maximal isometric force on the quadriceps femoris muscle.

METHOD

The experiment was conducted on 8 healthy male volunteer subjects (P.E. students, 1.72 m, 75 kg, 29 years old). The subjects were seated with the knee flexed at 60°. Trunk and thigh angle was fixed at 110°. A force transducer linked to a computer was fixed on the ankle. A large common proximal electrode was secured over the femoral nerve and three distal electrodes were put in regards of the motor point of **VL, VM**, and VI muscle. Left and right legs were stimulated alternately.

A symmetrical square wave signal with a 2 ms rest between positive and negative phase (150 μ s) was applied at 80 Hz frequency. The maximal intensity of each stimulation was determined according to the maximal tolerance of the subjects.

The training procedure consists in 6 sets of 6 contractions with 4s on and 2 s off during 18 sessions.

The following parameters were measured before and after training, on the right and left legs:

- Maximal voluntary isometric force
- Maximal force induce by ES.

RESULTS

After an initial ramp a nearly flat **curve** corresponding to tetanus was **reached**. The **stimulation** procedure allows to reach **88%and** 90% of MVC on the left and right leg respectively. After training session we got a similar result and forces induced reached 91% and 99% of the force considered as MVC. The training group improve force of about 16% on the right leg and of 14% on the left leg.

DISCUSSION

For beginners as well as after electrical training sessions, inducing performance increases, the new muscular stimulation procedures proposed allow to get maximal contraction with a relative comfort. Most of the studies report that ES can induce nearly 70 to 80% of **MVC**. **But**, in the literature, most of the authors used only two electrodes. One is located on the proximal part, and one on the distal part of the thigh (**Grimby**, 1989; Snider-Mackler. 1989; Delitto, 1989; Portmann, 1991; Liber 1993). It is quite surprising to notice that recent studies use this placement whereas Guilbert and **Carnot** in 1909 already proposed an electrode localization above the motor points. With the proposed technique we can reach quite easily 100% of MVC. This will be a powerful tool to improve maximal force. One of the potential advantage of ES is to allow muscle strength improvement even if the subjects can't perform weight training exercise. If we consider that we can override the fatigue sensation, we must be very careful with the introduction of ES in the training session. Furthermore, ethic problems are associated with the introduction of ES in training programm.

REFERENCES

- Delitto, A., M. Brown, M. J. **Strube**, S. J. Rose, and R. C. Lehman. , Electrical stimulation of quadriceps femoris in an elite weight **lifter** : a single subject experiment, *Int. J. Sports Med.*, 1989, 10, 187-191
- Grimby**, G. and I. Wigerstad-Lossing. , Comparison of high -and low- frequency muscle stimulators, *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.*, 1989, 70, 835-838
- Snyder-Mackler, L., M. Garret, and M. Roberts. , A comparison of torque generating capabilities of three different electrical stimulating currents, *J. Orth. Sports Phys. Ther.*, 1989, 297-301
- Portmann, M. and R. Monpetit. , Effets de l'entrainement par l'**lectrostimulation isom, trique** et dynamique sur la force de contraction **musculaire**, *Science & Sports*, 1991, 6, 193-203
- Lieber, R. L. and M. J. Kelly. , Torque history of electrically stimulated human quadriceps : implications for stimulation therapy, *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, 1993, 11, 131-141
- Gilbert, A. and **Carnot** P. *Bibliothèque de thérapeutique*. Electrotherapie by Nogier T. **Bailliére** J.B. Ed, 1909